## Math 75 NOTES 2 on finite fields

## C. Pomerance

Let $F$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. We have just seen that the number $N_{q}(d)$ of monic irreducible polynomials of degree $d$ in $F[x]$ that divide $x^{q^{d}}-x$ satisfies the formula

$$
N_{q}(d)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{j \mid d} \mu(d / j) q^{j}
$$

Here $\mu$ is the Möbius function from elementary number theory and combinatorics. We can use the fact that $\mu(n)$ is always $\pm 1$ or 0 to get an estimate for $N_{q}(d)$. We see that the term in the sum with the biggest absolute value is when $j=d$; the term is $\mu(1) q^{d}=q^{d}$. Thus,

$$
N_{q}(d) \geq \frac{1}{d} q^{d}-\frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor} q^{j}=\frac{1}{d} q^{d}-\frac{1}{d} \frac{q^{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor+1}-q}{q-1},
$$

using the formula to sum a geometric series. It is easy to check that for every positive integer $d$, we have $\lfloor d / 2\rfloor+1 \leq d$ (equality holds at $d=1,2$, and for $d \geq 3$ it is a strict inequality). Thus,

$$
N_{q}(d) \geq \frac{1}{d} q^{d}-\frac{1}{d} \frac{q^{d}-q}{q-1} \geq \frac{1}{d} q^{d}-\frac{1}{d}\left(q^{d}-q\right)>0 .
$$

The conclusion: The polynomial $x^{q^{d}}-x$ in $F[x]$ has at least one irreducible factor of degree $d$.
A further conclusion: If $F$ is a finite field of $q$ elements and $d$ is a positive integer, then there is a finite field of $q^{d}$ elements that contains $F$ as a subfield. Indeed, let $f \in F[x]$ be irreducible of degree $d$. The field $F[x] /(f)$ has $q^{d}$ elements and it contains (an isomorphic copy of) $F$.

A still further conclusion: If $p$ is a prime and $d$ is any positive integer, there is a finite field of size $p^{d}$. This then is the converse of what we learned earlier, namely, that every finite field has a prime-power number of elements.

Here are some further consequences of our discussion. If $F$ is a finite field of $q$ elements and $f \in F[x]$ is irreducible of degree $d$, then $f(x) \mid x^{q^{d}}-x$. (So $N_{q}(d)$ counts the total number of monic irreducibles in $F[x]$ of degree $d$.) Here's why $f(x) \mid x^{q^{d}}-x$. Let $K=F[x] /(f)$, a finite field with $q^{d}$ elements. Then the element $x$ of $K$, call it $\alpha$, satisfies $f(\alpha)=0$, so $f$ is the minimal polynomial for $\alpha$ in $K$. But every element in $K$ is a root of $x^{q^{d}}-x$, so it follows that $f(x) \mid x^{q^{d}}-x$ in $F[x]$.

And: If $L, F$ are finite fields with $F$ a subfield of $L$ of size $q$ and $[L: F]=d$, then for each $j \mid d$, we have an intermediate field $K$ with $[K: F]=j$ (which we have already seen is unique, provided it exists). Here's why. Let $f \in F[x]$ with $f \mid x^{q^{d}}-x$ irreducible of degree $j$. Since $x^{q^{d}}-x$ has $q^{d}$ roots in $L$ and splits into $q^{d}$ distinct linear factors in $L[x]$, it follows that $f$ has a root $\alpha \in L$. We've seen that $K=F[\alpha]$ is an intermediate field with $[K: F]$ being the degree of the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$ over $F$. But this polynomial is $f(x)$, which has degree $j$. In fact, $F[\alpha]$ is isomorphic to $F[x] /(f)$ and it is the unique intermediate field of size $q^{j}$. Done.

And finally: If $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are finite fields of $q$ elements each, then $F_{1}$ is isomorphic to $F_{2}$. Here's why. We know there is some positive integer $d$ and prime $p$ with $q=p^{d}$. We have just learned that for the field extension $\mathbb{Z} /(p) \subset F_{1}$, and for each $j \mid d$, there is some irreducible factor $f_{j}$ of $x^{p^{d}}-x$ in $(\mathbb{Z} /(p))[x]$ with $(\mathbb{Z} /(p))[x] /\left(f_{j}\right)$ the unique intermediate field of size $p^{j}$. Let's apply this with $j=d$. So, $F_{1}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} /(p))[x] /\left(f_{d}\right)$, and the same for $F_{2}$. So they are isomorphic to each other.

Because of this last fact, for each prime power $q$, we have the notation $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for the unique (up to isomorphism) finite field of size $q$. We shall see later that not all presentations of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ are equally pleasant, and we may wish to distinguish between them, but the broad picture for now is that there is just one field of $q$ elements.

Here's a proof of the formula

$$
\sum_{j \mid n} \mu(j)= \begin{cases}1, & n=1 \\ 0, & n>1\end{cases}
$$

From the definition of $\mu$, we have for any positive integer $n$ that

$$
\sum_{j \mid n} \mu(j)=\sum_{\substack{j \mid n \\ j \text { squarefree }}} \mu(j)=\sum_{j \mid m} \mu(j)
$$

where $m$ is the largest squarefree divisor of $n$. Thus, it suffices to prove the formula for squarefree numbers $m$. The formula is clearly correct for $m=1$. Now assume it is true for $m$, and let $p$ be a prime that does not divide $m$. The divisors of $p m$ fall into two disjoint sets, those numbers $j$ which divide $m$ and those that don't. The latter divisors are of the form $p j$, where $j \mid m$. Thus, since $\mu(p j)=-\mu(j)$, we have

$$
\sum_{j \mid p m} \mu(j)=\sum_{j \mid m} \mu(j)+\sum_{j \mid m} \mu(p j)=\sum_{j \mid m} \mu(j)-\sum_{j \mid m} \mu(j)=0 .
$$

Thus, the formula follows by induction.

