## 1. Chapter I: #10ab.

**ANS**: This is a test to see if you can parse a definition precisely. Recall that a function  $f : A \to B$  is a subset  $f \subset A \times B$  such that for every  $a \in A$ , there is a unique  $b \in B$  such that  $(a,b) \in f$ . If either A or B is empty, then so is  $A \times B$ . Hence there is only one subset of  $A \times B$  — namely the empty set  $\emptyset$ . The only question is whether or not the empty set is a function.

- (a) If A is nonempty and  $B = \emptyset$ , then given  $a \in A$ , there can be no  $(a, b) \in A \times B = \emptyset$ , so there are no functions  $f : A \to \emptyset$ .
- (b) On the other hand, if  $A = \emptyset$ , then whether or not B is empty, the condition for all  $a \in A$  there is a unique  $b \in B$  such that  $(a, b) \in \emptyset$  is vacuously satisfied. Hence the empty set is a function, and the only function, from  $\emptyset$  to B.
- 2. Chapter II: #11.

**ANS**: Here we have to show that if a > 1, then  $\{a, a^2, a^3, ...\}$  is not bounded. Ok, suppose not. Then there is  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $a^n \leq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Next we turn to the hint. We'll show that

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \ge 2 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}$$
 (†)

using induction.<sup>1</sup> Let A be the subset of **N** for which  $(\dagger)$  holds. Clearly  $1 \in A$  and if  $n \in A$ , then

$$\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} = \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \ge 2 \cdot 1 = 2.$$

(Here we've used  $n \in A$  and  $(1 + \frac{1}{n}) \ge 1$ .) This shows (†) holds for all n.

Next I claim that

$$2^k \ge k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{\ddagger}$$

Again, we'll use induction. Let A be the set of k for which  $(\ddagger)$  holds. Clearly  $1 \in A$ . Suppose  $n \in A$ . Then

$$2^{n+1} \ge 2^n(2) \ge 2n = n+n \ge n+1.$$

Thus (‡) holds for all k. But there is a k > x (by LUB 1). Since a - 1 > 0, there is a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < a - 1$  and

$$a < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Alternately, you could use the result we proved in lecture that  $(1 + x)^n \leq 1 + nx$  provided  $x \geq -1$ . You can't for example, use the binomial theorem as we haven't proved it. Of course, you could prove it and then use it.

But then

$$a^{kn} > \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right)^k$$
  

$$\geq 2^k$$
  

$$\geq k$$
  

$$> x.$$

But this contradicts our choice of x. This finishes the proof.

## 3. Chapter II: #13.

**ANS**: Since each  $S_i$  is nonempty and bounded above, each set has a least upper bound  $s_i$ . Define

$$S_1 + S_2 = \{ x + y : x \in S_1 \text{ and } y \in S_2 \}.$$

We are supposed to show that  $lub(S_1 + S_2) = s_1 + s_2$ . But if  $x \in S_1$  and  $y \in S_2$ , then

$$x+y \le s_1+s_2.$$

Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  is bounded above (as well as nonempty). Hence  $S_1 + S_2$  at least has an least upper bound. Since  $s_1 + s_2$  is an upper bound, it will suffice to see that  $s_1 + s_2 - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . But  $s_1 - \epsilon/2$  can't be an upper bound for  $S_1$ . Thus there is a  $t_1 \in S_1$  such that  $t_1 > s_1 - \epsilon/2$ . Similarly, there is a  $t_2 \in S_2$  such that  $t_2 > s_2 - \epsilon/2$ . But now we have  $t_1 + t_2 \in S_1 + S_2$ and

$$t_1 + t_2 > s_1 + s_2 - \epsilon.$$

Thus  $s_1 + s_2 - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound and we're done.