## Math 50 Stat Inf: Homework 6—selected solutions

## due Wed Feb 15

**5.4.18** : variance of first is  $5^2$  times smaller (more efficient) since  $\operatorname{Var}(Y_{max}) = \operatorname{Var}(Y_{min})$ .

- A.  $\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p})} = 0.0014$ , (*i.e.* std dev of mean k was 100 times this); you should get z-values of order -2 to +2, *i.e.* from standard normal, otherwise something's wrong!
- B. Following calculation of bias in the  $\mu$  unknown case from class, a simpler version gives bias of n/(n-1) for what's given. The cure is to return to the 'naive' estimate  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i \mu)^2$ .
- 5.7.2 : To show consistent you may EITHER,
  - 1. use Chebyshev's law of large numbers since estimator is the mean of indep samples  $Y_i^2$ . The theorem only applies if the variance of each variable is *finite*. Thus you need to prove  $\operatorname{Var}(X^2) = E(X^4) + (E(X^2))^2 < \infty$ . This follows since the second term is  $\sigma^4$  and the first can be found using the integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4 e^{-x^2/2} dx = 3\sqrt{2\pi}$ , OR
  - 2. Show the mean is correct, and that the estimator's variance, which is  $Var(X^2)/n$ , goes to zero. This boils down to same estimate as above.
  - C. The key fact is the Cauchy has infinite variance, so Chebyshev's law of large numbers bearsk down, and the sample mean never converges! (Cool, eh?) However a ML estimate (or, better, full  $L(\mu)$  function as you plotted) does. This is good evidence Bayesian methods are the best.

Run this code to make the required plots:

```
% HW6: Alex qu C - Cauchy pdf sample mean estimator expt
% i)
y = tan(pi*rand(1,10000) - pi/2);
dx = 0.1;
             % choose spacing for histogram
x = -4:dx:4;
figure; hist(y, x); xlabel y; ylabel freq; axis([-3 3 0 400]);
% compare to true Cauchy... (optional)
hold on; plot(x, (dx/pi)*ns(end)./(1+x.^2), '-');
% ii)
ns = 10.^{(1:7)};
                     % list of n values (note 10^7 causes a few sec wait).
                          % so any old values of m forgotten
clear m
for i=1:7
  n = ns(i);
                                 % do each n value in turn
  y = tan(pi*rand(1,n) - pi/2);
                                  % note: fresh samples each time (optional)
 m(i) = mean(y);
                                    % note: we fill a list, plot only at end
end
figure; semilogx(ns, m, '+-'); xlabel n; ylabel('sample mean');
% iii)
                    posterior; we can reuse the y list already sitting there
```

```
n = 100;
m = -2:0.01:2; % choose list of mu values to calc L over (then plot)
L = ones(size(m));
for i=1:n
  L = L .* (1/pi)./(1+(y(i)-m).^2); % note ./ .^ since m is a list
end
figure; plot(m, L, '-'); xlabel \mu; ylabel L(\mu);
```

- D.  $L(\alpha|X) = cp^7(1-p)^3$ .
  - i) beta with params r = 8, s = 4 so  $p(\alpha|X) = \frac{\Gamma(12)}{\Gamma(8)\Gamma(4)}p^7(1-p)^3$ .
  - ii) beta with params r = 8 + 2 1, s = 4 + 4 1 so  $p(\alpha|X) = \frac{\Gamma(17)}{\Gamma(9)\Gamma(7)}p^8(1-p)^6$ .
- **5.8.1** : Ignore the  $\Gamma$  factors in the book's bad explanation. The posterior is a beta with params r + 1 and s + k, so the normalization is  $\Gamma(r + s + k + 1)/\Gamma(r + 1)\Gamma(s + k)$ .