## Chapter 7 Supplemental Notes Math 29 Spring 2011

## 1. INDEX SET EXAMPLES

1. Fin is  $\Sigma_2$ -complete. Step 1: show it is in  $\Sigma_2$ .

 $\operatorname{Fin} = \{e : |W_e| < \infty\} = \{e : (\exists N)(\forall n)(\forall s) \ (n > N \Rightarrow \varphi_{e,s}(n)\uparrow)\}$ 

This gives us the chance to see why duplicates of the same quantifier don't affect complexity. If we wanted, we could use the following formula:

$$(\exists N)(\forall x) \left[ (\forall n < x)(\forall s < x) (n > N \Rightarrow \varphi_{e,s}(n) \uparrow) \right]$$

The part in square brackets is still a computable relation, and this formula is true if and only if the original version is true.

We will come back to Step 2: Every  $\Sigma_2$  set 1-reduces to Fin.

2. Tot is  $\Pi_2$ -complete. Step 1:

$$Tot = \{e : W_e = \mathbb{N}\} = \{e : (\forall n)(\exists s)(\varphi_{e,s}(n)\downarrow)\}$$

Step 2 will happen in conjunction with Step 2 for Fin.

3. Rec is  $\Sigma_3$ -complete. Rec = { $e : W_e$  is computable}, so the leading existential quantifier says that there must be an index  $\hat{e}$  giving  $W_e$ 's characteristic function. For  $\varphi_{\hat{e}}$  to be that function, it must be total and have output 1 on n if  $n \in W_e$  and output 0 otherwise. Going from the "not in  $W_e$ " side is hard, though, because we only have an enumeration, so we need to make sure  $\varphi_{\hat{e}}$  is total with codomain {0,1} and membership in  $W_e$  is equivalent to an output of 1.

For every n, if n appears in  $W_e$  at some stage we must have  $\varphi_{\hat{e}}(n) \downarrow = 1$ , but not necessarily at the same stage. Likewise halting with output 1 says n must appear in  $W_e$  eventually, but not at a specific stage.

$$\operatorname{Rec} = \{ e : (\exists \hat{e}) (\forall n, s) (\exists t) [ (\varphi_{\hat{e}, t}(n) \downarrow \in \{0, 1\} \& (n \in W_{e, s} \Rightarrow \varphi_{\hat{e}, t}(n) = 1) \& (\varphi_{\hat{e}, s}(n) = 1 \Rightarrow n \in W_{e, t}) ] \}$$

We omit Step 2 of the completeness proof.

That Inf is  $\Pi_2$ -complete comes from the proof for Fin. Showing Con is  $\Pi_2$  is homework, and that it is actually complete will follow, with Tot, from the completeness proof for Fin. Note that because the *S*-*m*-*n* Theorem produces a 1-1 computable function, the proof that *K* is Turing-complete shows it is  $\Sigma_1$ -complete as well.

Step 2. Showing completeness.

For any given  $\Sigma_2$  set A, we must produce a computable 1-1 function f such that  $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in Fin$ . For some computable relation  $R, x \in A \Leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\forall z)R(x, y, z)$ , by definition of being  $\Sigma_2$ . It turns out to be more useful to take the complement:  $x \in \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\exists z) \neg R(x, y, z)$ , because we can then "cap off" the leading universal quantifier at higher

and higher points, looking to see if there is a z for each of the finitely many y. This allows us to define a partial computable function:

$$\psi(x,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\forall y \le w)(\exists z) \neg R(x,y,z) \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This is partial computable despite the unbounded existential quantifier because we can dovetail the (finitely-many) searches for a z to match each  $y \leq w$ . If all y have such a z we will eventually find it, and if not, it is simply a divergent unbounded search. As usual,  $\psi$  is some  $\varphi_e$ , we can use *s*-*m*-*n* to push x into the index, e is fixed by A and we end up with a 1-1 total computable f such that  $\varphi_{f(x)}(w) = \psi(x, w)$ .

If  $x \in \overline{A}$ , all y have a matching z, every w gives a convergent search, and  $\varphi_{f(x)}$  is the constant 0 function. If  $x \in A$ , there is some y that has no matching z, and  $\varphi_{f(x)}$  will diverge on all w that surpass the least such y. That is,

$$x \in A \Rightarrow W_{f(x)}$$
 finite  $\Rightarrow f(x) \in \text{Fin, and}$   
 $x \in \overline{A} \Rightarrow (\forall w)(\varphi_{f(x)}(w) = 0) \Rightarrow f(x) \in \text{Con} \subset \text{Tot} \subset \text{Inf} = \overline{\text{Fin.}}$ 

## 2. A picture of the arithmetic hierarchy

Each set is contained in those directly above it and those above it to which it is connected by lines.

