

Math 24  
Spring 2012  
Thursday, April 19

(1.) TRUE or FALSE?

(a.) Suppose that  $\beta = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $\beta' = \{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n\}$  are ordered bases for a vector space and  $Q$  is the change of coordinate matrix that changes  $\beta'$ -coordinates into  $\beta$ -coordinates. Then the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $Q$  is  $[x_j]_{\beta'}$ . (F, it's  $[x'_j]_{\beta}$ )

(b.) Every change of coordinate matrix is invertible. (T)

(c.) Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , let  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  be ordered bases for  $V$ , and let  $Q$  be the change-of-coordinate matrix that changes  $\beta'$ -coordinates into  $\beta$ -coordinates. Then

$$[T]_{\beta} = Q[T]_{\beta'}Q^{-1}.$$

(T)

(d.) The matrices  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$  are called similar if  $B = Q^t A Q$  for some  $Q \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ . (F, it's  $Q^{-1} A Q$ )

(e.) Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then for any ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  for  $V$ ,  $[T]_{\beta}$  is similar to  $[T]_{\gamma}$ . (T)

(f.) Every invertible matrix is a change of coordinate matrix. (T)

(2.) Let  $\alpha = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$  and  $\beta = \{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}$  be ordered bases for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(a.) Find the matrix  $A$  that changes  $\beta$ -coordinates into  $\alpha$ -coordinates.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the columns are  $\alpha$ -coordinates of the basis vectors in  $\beta$ , that is, the standard coordinates of  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ .

(b.) Find the matrix  $B$  that changes  $\alpha$ -coordinates into  $\beta$ -coordinates.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(c.) Verify by matrix multiplication that  $AB = I$ .

Yes, it does.

(d.) Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear transformation such that  $T(1, 1) = (2, 2)$  and  $T(1, -1) = (-1, 1)$ . Find the matrix  $[T]_\beta$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is because  $T(1, 1) = 2(1, 1) + 0(1, -1)$  and  $T(1, -1) = 0(1, 1) - 1(1, -1)$ .

(e.) Compute  $[T]_\alpha$  as a product of matrices you have already found.

If  $Q$  changes  $\beta$  coordinates to  $\alpha$  coordinates, then  $Q$  is the matrix in (a),  $Q^{-1}$  is the matrix in (b), and

$$[T]_\alpha = Q[T]_\beta Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(f.) Find  $T(2, 3)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{2} \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T(2, 3) = \left(\frac{11}{2}, \frac{9}{2}\right).$$

(3.) For each linear transformation  $T$ , determine whether  $T$  is invertible and justify your answer.

(a.)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $T(a, b) = (a - 2b, b, 3a + 4b)$ .

(b.)  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $T(a, b) = (3a - 2, b, 4a)$ .

(c.)  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by  $T(a, b, c) = (3a - 2c, b, 3a + 4b)$ .

(d.)  $T : P_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $T(p(x)) = p'(x)$ .

(e.)  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = a + 2bx + (c + d)x^2$ .

(f.)  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + b & a \\ c & c + d \end{pmatrix}$ .

Because an invertible linear transformation on an  $n$ -dimensional domain must have an  $n$ -dimensional codomain, we can see immediately that in (a), (b), and (d),  $T$  is not invertible.

If the dimensions of the domain and codomain are both  $n$ , to show  $T$  is invertible it is enough to show either that  $T$  is one-to-one or that  $T$  is onto.

In (e),  $T$  is not onto, because  $x^3$  is not in its range, so  $T$  is not invertible.

In (c) and (f),  $T$  is one-to-one, so  $T$  is invertible; it is not hard to see in each case that the null space of  $T$  is  $\{0\}$ .

(4.) Let  $V$  be the subspace of  $M_{2 \times 2}(F)$  spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Construct an isomorphism from  $V$  to  $F^3$ .

It is not hard to see this set is linearly independent, since none of these matrices is a linear combination of those listed earlier. They span all matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & a + b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ , which can also be phrased as all matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ . This suggests two natural isomorphisms,  $T \begin{pmatrix} a & a + b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = (a, b, c)$  and  $T \begin{pmatrix} a & d \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = (a, d, c)$ . In each case it is easy to see that the range is all of  $F^3$ , and so  $T$  is an isomorphism.

There are three very important isomorphisms we have seen so far. We also know a few important facts about creating isomorphisms.

(a.) If  $V$  is any vector space, the identity transformation  $I_V : V \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism.

(b) If  $V$  is any  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$ , and  $\beta$  is a basis for  $V$ , the “coordinate coding” function  $\phi_\beta : V \rightarrow F^n$  defined by  $\phi_\beta(v) = [v]_\beta$  is an isomorphism.

(c.) If  $V$  and  $W$  are  $n$ - and  $m$ - dimensional vector spaces over  $F$  with ordered bases  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively, the “representation by matrix” function  $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(F)$  defined by  $\mathcal{R}(T) = [T]_\alpha^\beta$  is an isomorphism.

(d.) If  $V$  and  $W$  are  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces over  $F$ ,  $\alpha = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , and  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , then there is a unique isomorphism  $T : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $T(v_1) = w_1, T(v_2) = w_2, \dots, T(v_n) = w_n$ .

(e.) If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  and  $U : W \rightarrow Z$  are isomorphisms, the composite (or composition)  $UT : V \rightarrow Z$  is also an isomorphism.

(f.) If  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism, then  $T$  is invertible, and its inverse  $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$  is also an isomorphism.

Make sure you understand these things. They will be useful.