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## Example
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So no matter what you multiply $A$ by, you can't get the identity matrix.
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The quantity $a d-b c$ (diagonal product minus off-diagonal product) is called the determinant of $A$.
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& 3 x+2 y=5
\end{aligned}
$$

using inverses of matrices. This is equivalent to solving $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$. The determinant of $A$ is $(3)(2)-(3)=3$. Thus $A^{-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -3 & 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the solution $\mathbf{x}$ to the above equation is
$\mathbf{x}=A^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}22 / 3-5 / 3 \\ -11+5\end{array}\right]$

## Example: solving systems with inverses

Lets solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x+y=11 \\
& 3 x+2 y=5
\end{aligned}
$$

using inverses of matrices. This is equivalent to solving $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$. The determinant of $A$ is $(3)(2)-(3)=3$. Thus $A^{-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -3 & 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the solution $\mathbf{x}$ to the above equation is
$\mathbf{x}=A^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}22 / 3-5 / 3 \\ -11+5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}17 / 3 \\ -6\end{array}\right]$.

## Example: solving systems with inverses

Lets solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x+y=11 \\
& 3 x+2 y=5
\end{aligned}
$$

using inverses of matrices. This is equivalent to solving $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$. The determinant of $A$ is
$(3)(2)-(3)=3$. Thus $A^{-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -3 & 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the solution $\mathbf{x}$ to the above equation is
$\mathbf{x}=A^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}22 / 3-5 / 3 \\ -11+5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}17 / 3 \\ -6\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the unique solution is $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}17 / 3 \\ -6\end{array}\right]$.

## Example: solving systems with inverses

Lets solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x+y=11 \\
& 3 x+2 y=5
\end{aligned}
$$

using inverses of matrices. This is equivalent to solving $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]$. The determinant of $A$ is
$(3)(2)-(3)=3$. Thus $A^{-1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & -1 \\ -3 & 3\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the solution $\mathbf{x}$ to the above equation is
$\mathbf{x}=A^{-1} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}11 \\ 5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}22 / 3-5 / 3 \\ -11+5\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}17 / 3 \\ -6\end{array}\right]$.
Thus the unique solution is $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}17 / 3 \\ -6\end{array}\right]$. So $x=17 / 3$ and $y=-6$.
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## Properties of invertible matrices

## Theorem

(1) If $A$ is an invertible matrix, then $A^{-1}$ is also invertible, and the inverse of $A^{-1}$ is $A$.
(2) If $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ invertible matrices, then so is $A B$, and the inverse of $A B$ is $B^{-1} A^{-1}$ [Similar to the formula for the transpose of a product]
(3) The transpose of an invertible matrix is invertible, and $\left(A^{T}\right)^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{T}$.

## Proof.

Proof in the book is uncomplicated but you should read it. You really only need associativity of matrix multiplication, the fact that $I_{n} A=A=A I_{n}$ for $n \times n$ matrices, and properties of the transpose.

## Properties of invertible matrices

## Theorem

(1) If $A$ is an invertible matrix, then $A^{-1}$ is also invertible, and the inverse of $A^{-1}$ is $A$.
(2) If $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ invertible matrices, then so is $A B$, and the inverse of $A B$ is $B^{-1} A^{-1}$ [Similar to the formula for the transpose of a product]
(3) The transpose of an invertible matrix is invertible, and $\left(A^{T}\right)^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{T}$.

## Proof.

Proof in the book is uncomplicated but you should read it. You really only need associativity of matrix multiplication, the fact that $I_{n} A=A=A I_{n}$ for $n \times n$ matrices, and properties of the transpose.

In general, if $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}$ are a bunch of invertible $n \times n$ matrices then so is $A_{1} A_{2} \quad A_{1}$ and $\left(A_{1} A_{2} \quad A_{\mu}\right)^{-1}=A^{-1} \quad A^{-1} A^{\equiv 1}$

## Elementary matrices and row operations

Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling.
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Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling. We want to reformulate them so that performing an elementary row operation on the square matrix $A$ is the same as taking the product $E A$ by some invertible matrix $E$. This will allow us effective computation of matrix inverses.
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An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

## Elementary matrices and row operations

Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling. We want to reformulate them so that performing an elementary row operation on the square matrix $A$ is the same as taking the product $E A$ by some invertible matrix $E$. This will allow us effective computation of matrix inverses.

## Definition

An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

## Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices and row operations

Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling. We want to reformulate them so that performing an elementary row operation on the square matrix $A$ is the same as taking the product $E A$ by some invertible matrix $E$. This will allow us effective computation of matrix inverses.

## Definition

An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices and row operations

Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling. We want to reformulate them so that performing an elementary row operation on the square matrix $A$ is the same as taking the product $E A$ by some invertible matrix $E$. This will allow us effective computation of matrix inverses.

## Definition

An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices and row operations

Recall the elementary row operations: interchange, replacement, scaling. We want to reformulate them so that performing an elementary row operation on the square matrix $A$ is the same as taking the product $E A$ by some invertible matrix $E$. This will allow us effective computation of matrix inverses.

## Definition

An elementary matrix is a matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

## Example

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

are all elementary matrices.

## Elementary matrices are invertible

Remember that the elementary row operations can be reversed:
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(1) interchanging rows $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ is reversed by interchanging the rows again.

## Elementary matrices are invertible

Remember that the elementary row operations can be reversed:
(1) interchanging rows $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ is reversed by interchanging the rows again.
(2) scaling a row by $c$ is reversed by scaling the same row by $\frac{1}{c}$

## Elementary matrices are invertible

Remember that the elementary row operations can be reversed:
(1) interchanging rows $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ is reversed by interchanging the rows again.
(2) scaling a row by $c$ is reversed by scaling the same row by $\frac{1}{c}$
(3) replacing row $i_{1}$ with $c$ times row $i_{2}$ is reversed by subtracting $c$ times row $i_{2}$ from row $i_{1}$

## Elementary matrices are invertible

Remember that the elementary row operations can be reversed:
(1) interchanging rows $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ is reversed by interchanging the rows again.
(2) scaling a row by $c$ is reversed by scaling the same row by $\frac{1}{c}$
(3) replacing row $i_{1}$ with $c$ times row $i_{2}$ is reversed by subtracting $c$ times row $i_{2}$ from row $i_{1}$
This gives us that every elementary matrix $E$ corresponding to a row operation is invertible, and $E^{-1}$ is the elementary matrix corresponding to the reverse elementary row operation.

## Elementry matrices are invertible

## Example

Let $E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1\end{array}\right]$. Then $E$ is the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation "add 5 times the first row to the second row."

## Example

Let $E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1\end{array}\right]$. Then $E$ is the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation "add 5 times the first row to the second row." Then its inverse is the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation "subtract 5 times the first row from the second row."

## Example

Let $E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1\end{array}\right]$. Then $E$ is the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation "add 5 times the first row to the second row." Then its inverse is the elementary matrix corresponding to the row operation "subtract 5 times the first row from the second row." That is,

$$
E^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-5 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices in action

## Example

Let $E_{1}$ be the elementary matrix obtained by adding the first row of $I_{2}$ to its second row.

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices in action

## Example

Let $E_{1}$ be the elementary matrix obtained by adding the first row of $I_{2}$ to its second row.

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then for any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]$ we have

## Elementary matrices in action

## Example

Let $E_{1}$ be the elementary matrix obtained by adding the first row of $I_{2}$ to its second row.

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then for any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]$ we have
$E_{1} A$

## Elementary matrices in action

## Example

Let $E_{1}$ be the elementary matrix obtained by adding the first row of $I_{2}$ to its second row.

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then for any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]$ we have

$$
E_{1} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elementary matrices in action

## Example

Let $E_{1}$ be the elementary matrix obtained by adding the first row of $I_{2}$ to its second row.

$$
E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then for any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]$ we have

$$
E_{1} A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
a+c & b+d
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Invertibility and elementary row ops.

## Theorem

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $A$ is invertible if and only if $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$, and any sequence of row operations that transforms $A$ into I applied in the same order to I transforms I into $A^{-1}$.

## Invertibility and elementary row ops.

## Theorem

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $A$ is invertible if and only if $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$, and any sequence of row operations that transforms $A$ into I applied in the same order to I transforms I into $A^{-1}$. Put another way, if $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{k}$ are the elementary matrices corresponding to the row operations transforming $A$ into I, so that

$$
E_{1} E_{2} \ldots E_{k} A=I
$$

## Invertibility and elementary row ops.

## Theorem

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $A$ is invertible if and only if $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$, and any sequence of row operations that transforms $A$ into I applied in the same order to I transforms I into $A^{-1}$. Put another way, if $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{k}$ are the elementary matrices corresponding to the row operations transforming $A$ into I, so that

$$
E_{1} E_{2} \ldots E_{k} A=I
$$

then we necessarily have that

$$
E_{1} E_{2} \ldots E_{k} I=E_{1} \ldots E_{k}=A^{-1}
$$

## Matrix inversion algorithm

## Matrix inversion algorithm

The theorem in the preceding slide tells us how to compute the inverse of any invertible matrix, and it encodes a way to check if an $n \times n$ matrix is invertible, all through row reduction.

## Matrix inversion algorithm

The theorem in the preceding slide tells us how to compute the inverse of any invertible matrix, and it encodes a way to check if an $n \times n$ matrix is invertible, all through row reduction.

## Fact

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and form the augmented matrix

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{[A} & \left.I_{n}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Row reduce this matrix as usual. If the reduced echelon form looks like

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[I_{n}\right.} & C]
\end{array}
$$

then $A$ is invertible and $C=A^{-1}$.

## Matrix inversion algorithm

The theorem in the preceding slide tells us how to compute the inverse of any invertible matrix, and it encodes a way to check if an $n \times n$ matrix is invertible, all through row reduction.

## Fact

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and form the augmented matrix

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{[A} & \left.I_{n}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Row reduce this matrix as usual. If the reduced echelon form looks like

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[I_{n}\right.} & C]
\end{array}
$$

then $A$ is invertible and $C=A^{-1}$. If the reduced echelon form looks any other way, then $A$ is not invertible (in fact, you can decide if $A$ is invertible just by transforming to echelon form and seeing if there are any rows that have $n 0 s$ in the left half.

## Example of MIA

Let's find determine if the matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right]$ is invertible and find its inverse, if possible. Form the augmented system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Ex. of MIA, pt. II

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Ex. of MIA, pt. II

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Ex. of MIA, pt. II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1
\end{array} 0\right.} \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}-5\right)-2 \text { 0 } 1\right] ~\right] ~\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 \\
0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & -5 & -7 & -3 & 1 \\
0 \\
0 & -1 & -5 & -2 & 0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we can pause at echelon form to say that there are no bad rows.

We keep row reducing the entire augmented matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 18 & 7 & 1 & -5
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

We keep row reducing the entire augmented matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 18 & 7 & 1 & -5
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -3 / 18 & -3 / 18 & 15 / 18 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -\frac{3}{18} & \frac{-3}{18} & \frac{15}{18} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18} & \frac{-13}{9} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

We keep row reducing the entire augmented matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 18 & 7 & 1 & -5
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -3 / 18 & -3 / 18 & 15 / 18 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -\frac{3}{18} & \frac{-3}{18} & \frac{15}{18} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18} & \frac{-13}{9} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 8 & 1 / 18 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is in reduced echelon form.

We keep row reducing the entire augmented matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 18 & 7 & 1 & -5
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -3 / 18 & -3 / 18 & 15 / 18 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & -\frac{3}{18} & \frac{-3}{18} & \frac{15}{18} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18} & \frac{-13}{9} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{18} & \frac{1}{18} & \frac{-5}{18}
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 8 & 1 / 18 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is in reduced echelon form. The right half of the augmented matrix is the inverse of $A$.

We computed that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-5 / 18 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 \\
1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]
$$

We computed that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-5 / 18 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 \\
1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]
$$

If you multiply the two matrices you get the identity

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-5 / 18 & 7 / 18 & 1 / 18 \\
1 / 18 & -5 / 18 & 7 / 18 \\
7 / 18 & 1 / 18 & -5 / 18
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## When is a matrix invertible?

We have seen that a matrix is invertible if you can reduce it to the identity matrix via row operations. This description is not complete enough for our purposes-we don't always want to have to run the row reduction algorithm every time.
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## Theorem (The Invertible Matrix Theorem)

If $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix then the following are equivalent:
(1) $A$ is invertible
(2) $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$
(3) A has n pivot columns
(1) the equation $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution
(3) the columns of $A$ form a linearly independent set
(0) the linear transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A \mathbf{x}$ is one-to-one
(1) the equation $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ has at least one solution for each $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
(8) the columns of $A$ span $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(0) the linear transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A \mathbf{x}$ maps $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(10) there is an $n \times n$ matrix $C$ such that $C A=I_{n}$
(1) there is an $n \times n$ matrix $D$ such that $A D=I_{n}$
(12) $A^{T}$ is invertible
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If $A$ is invertible then $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$ by the previous section. So (1) implies (2).
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## Proving the IMT: 1 implies 2 implies 3 implies 4 implies 5

If $A$ is invertible then $A$ is row equivalent to $I_{n}$ by the previous section. So (1) implies (2). If $A$ is row-equivalent to $I_{n}$, then the row-operations that transform $A$ to $I_{n}$ actually transform $A$ into reduced echelon form. Since $I_{n}$ has no non-pivot columns, $A$ has no non-pivot columns. So (2) implies (3) Suppose $A$ has $n$ pivot columns. If we augment $A$ with $\mathbf{0}$ and row-reduce, there are no free variables. Thus $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution. So (3) implies (4) Any linear dependence relation among the columns of $A$ gives a non-trivial solution to $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$. Thus (4) implies (5).

## Application of the IMT

We can use the IMT to check if things are non-invertible really easily.
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We can use the IMT to check if things are non-invertible really easily.

## Example

Let $A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 8\end{array}\right]$. Let's see if $A$ is invertible without row reduction. There's a whole bunch of ways to check, but one that is nice is: if $A$ has linearly dependent columns, then $A$ is not invertible. We note that the fourth column of $A$ is 2 times the first column of $A$.

## Application of the IMT

We can use the IMT to check if things are non-invertible really easily.

## Example

Let $A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 8\end{array}\right]$. Let's see if $A$ is invertible without row reduction. There's a whole bunch of ways to check, but one that is nice is: if $A$ has linearly dependent columns, then $A$ is not invertible. We note that the fourth column of $A$ is 2 times the first column of $A$. Thus the columns of $A$ are linearly dependent, so $A$ is not invertible by the IMT.

## Invertible maps

## Definition

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called invertible (or bijective) if there is a map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f(g(y))=y$ for all $y \in Y$ and $g(f(x))=x$ for all $x \in X$. If such a $g$ exists, it is called the inverse of $f$.
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## Invertible maps

## Definition

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called invertible (or bijective) if there is a map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f(g(y))=y$ for all $y \in Y$ and $g(f(x))=x$ for all $x \in X$. If such a $g$ exists, it is called the inverse of $f$.

When is a linear transformation $T$ given by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A \mathbf{x}$ invertible?
Precisely when $A$ is an invertible matrix.
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## Proof.
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## Proof.

Suppose that $A$ is invertible. Define $S: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $S(\mathbf{x})=A^{-1} \mathbf{x}$. Then $T(S(\mathbf{x}))=A A^{-1}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}$ and $S(T(\mathbf{x}))=\mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that $T$ is invertible with inverse $S$. We show that $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution. If $T(\mathbf{x})=T(\mathbf{0})$ then $S(T(\mathbf{x}))=S(T(\mathbf{0}))$. But $S$ is the inverse of $T$, so $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$. Thus the only solution to $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ is the trivial solution. The IMT tells us that $A$ is invertible.
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