

Math 101 Fall 2013
Homework #4
Due Wednesday October 16, 2013

1. Let $R = \mathbf{Q}[x]$ and let V be the 2-dimensional rational vector space \mathbf{Q}^2 and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be given by $Tv = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v$. View V as a R -module in the usual way $p(x) \cdot v = p(T)v$. Let $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Find $|u|$ and $|v|$.

ANS: Since $Tu = u$, $(x - 1) \cdot u = 0$. Since $u \neq 0$ and $\deg x - 1 = 1$, we must have $|u| = x - 1$. On the other hand, $Tv = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It then follows immediately that $(x - a) \cdot v \neq 0$ for any a . Hence the order of v must be a polynomial of degree at least 2. But a simple computation shows that $(x^2 - 2x + 1) \cdot v = 0$. Hence $|v| = (x - 1)^2$.

2. Let M be a module over a PID. Suppose that x and y are torsion elements in M with orders r and s , respectively. If $(r, s) = 1$, then show that the order of $x + y$ is rs .

ANS: Let a and b be such that $as + br = 1$. Since $rs \cdot (x + y) = 0$, the order t of $x + y$ divides rs . But if $t \cdot (x + y) = 0$, then $t \cdot x = -t \cdot y$. Then $tr \cdot y = 0$ and $s \mid tr$. But $as + br = 1$ implies $ast + brt = t$. Hence $s \mid t$. Similarly $r \mid t$. But then $ast + brt = t$ implies that $rs \mid t$. Thus rs and t are associates.

3. Show that a ring R is Noetherian if and only if every ideal in R is finitely generated. **In this problem R is any ring. Ideal means two-sided ideal, and Noetherian means every ascending sequence of ideals is eventually constant.**

ANS: Suppose every ideal in R is finitely generated. Let $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$ be an ascending sequence of ideals. Let $I = \bigcup I_i$. Then I is an ideal. Say that I is generated by x_1, \dots, x_k . But for some N , $n \geq N$ implies all the x_i are in I_n . But then we clearly have $I = I_n$ for all $n \geq N$.

On the other hand, let I be an ideal in R which is not finitely generated. Let $x_1 \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Then the ideal, (x_1) , generated by x_1 can't be all of I . So pick $x_2 \in I \setminus (x_1)$. Continue. Then $(x_1) \subset (x_1, x_2) \subset \dots$ is an ascending sequence of ideals which is not eventually constant.

4. Suppose that R is a PID. The aim of this problem is to prove the special case of Hilbert's Theorem which says that $R[x]$ is a Noetherian ring. Let I be a nonzero ideal in $R[x]$. By question 3, it will suffice to show that I is finitely generated. For each $n \geq 0$, let A_n be the union of the zero element and all elements of R which occur as leading coefficients of polynomials of degree n in I . (Thus $a_n \in A_n \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if there is a $p(x) \in I$ of the form $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$.)

(a) Show that each A_n is an ideal in R and that $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

- (b) Conclude that there is an r such that $A_n = A_r$ for all $r \geq n$.
- (c) Since R is a PID, we have $A_n = (a_n)$ and there is a degree n polynomial $p_n(x)$ in I with leading coefficient a_n . Let J be the ideal in $R[x]$ generated by $\{p_0(x), \dots, p_r(x)\}$. Then given any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree d in I , that there a polynomial $g(x) \in J$ such that the degree of $f(x) - g(x)$ is strictly less than d .
- (d) Conclude that $I = J$. Hence I is finitely generated.

ANS: (a) Suppose that a and b are in $A_n \setminus \{0\}$. Then there are degree n polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ with leading coefficients a and b , respectively. If $b = -a$, then $a + b = 0 \in A_n$ by definition. Otherwise, $f(x) + g(x)$ has degree n and $a + b \in A_n$. If $r \in R$, then either $r = 0$, or $r \cdot f(x) \in I$ and has degree n . In any event, $ra \in A_n$. Thus A_n is an ideal. On the other hand, the leading coefficient of $xf(x)$ is still a , so $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$. This proves (a).

(b) Since R is a PID, it is Noetherian.

(c) In this problem, as suggested in lecture, it is convenient to assign degree -1 to the zero polynomial. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in I with degree $d \geq 0$. If $d > r$, then the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ is ca_r for some $c \in R$. Then $f(x) - x^{d-r}p_r(x)$ has degree strictly less than d and of course $x^{d-r}p_r(x) \in J$. On the other hand, if $\deg f(x) = k \leq r$, then the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ is of the form ca_k where $A_k = (a_k)$. Then $f(x) - cp_k(x)$ has degree strictly less than $d = k$. Again, $cp_k(x) \in J$.

(d) We claim that if $f(x) \in I$, then $f(x) \in J$. This will suffice. This is clear if $f(x) = 0$ (or if $f(x)$ is constant). We assume the result if $\deg f(x) < d$. Clearly, $J \subset I$. But by the previous part, there is a $g(x) \in J$ such that $f(x) - g(x)$ is in I and has degree strictly less than d . Hence $f(x) - g(x) \in I$ by assumption. Since $g(x) \in I$, this means $f(x) \in I$, and we are done.

5. Let M be a module over a PID R . Suppose that $m \in M$ has order r . If $s \in R$, show that $\langle m \rangle[s] = \langle \frac{r}{(r,s)} \cdot m \rangle \cong R/(r,s)$. (Here “ (r,s) ” is used both to designate the ideal generated by r and s as well as the generator of that ideal.)

ANS: Note that $(\frac{r}{(r,s)}, \frac{s}{(r,s)}) = 1$. Also, you should be able to prove that if $(a,b) = 1$ and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$. Using these observations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m \rangle[s] &= \{ u \cdot m : su \cdot m = 0 \} \\ &= \{ u \cdot m : r \mid su \} \\ &= \{ u \cdot m : \frac{r}{(r,s)} \mid \frac{s}{(r,s)}u \} \\ &= \{ u \cdot m : \frac{r}{(r,s)} \mid u \} \\ &= \langle \frac{r}{(r,s)} \cdot m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get a map of R onto $\langle m \rangle$ by $v \mapsto \frac{vr}{(r,s)} \cdot m$, and this map clearly has kernel (r,s) . Thus the isomorphism claimed in the problem follows from the First Isomorphism Theorem for Modules.

6. Let F be a field and give $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F$ the obvious ring structure. Let $I = \{(x_i) \in R : x_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i\}$.

(a) Observe that I is an ideal in R . Hence I is an R -module.

(b) Show that I is not a finitely generated R -module.

(c) Conclude that submodules of finitely generated modules need not be finitely generated.

ANS: This is the example Michael suggested in lecture. Parts (a) and (c) are straightforward; it is easy to see that I is an ideal and R is generated by its identity (the constant function 1).

For part (b), suppose the contrary that I were generated as an R -module by m_1, \dots, m_k . Then for each i there is an N_i such that $n \geq N_i$ implies that $m_i(n) = 0$. Let $N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_k\}$. Then if m is the “linear combination” $r_1 \cdot m_1 + \dots + r_k \cdot m_k$ for $r_i \in R$, then $m(n) = 0$ for all $n \geq N$. But the submodule generated by $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ consists precisely of all such linear combinations. Hence $e_N \notin \langle \{m_1, \dots, m_k\} \rangle$. This shows I can't be finitely generated.

7. Here and elsewhere, V_T is the $F[x]$ -module corresponding to a finite-dimensional F -vector space V and linear map $T : V \rightarrow V$. Suppose that $W = \langle v \rangle$ is a cyclic submodule of V_T of order $f(x)$ for $f \in F[x]$ with $\deg f = k > 0$. Show that $\{v, Tv, T^2v, \dots, T^{k-1}v\}$ is a (vector space) basis for W .

ANS: Note that the map $p(x) \mapsto p(x) \cdot v$ factors through an isomorphism of $F[x]/(f(x))$ onto W . Since $f(x)$ has degree k , every element of $F[x]/(f(x))$ has a representative of the form $[b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{k-1}x^{k-1}]$: by the division algorithm, every $g(x) \in F[x]$ is of the form $q(x)f(x) + r(x)$ with $\deg r(x) < k$. (In fact, it is not so hard to see that $F[x]/(f(x))$ is an F -vector space of dimension k .) Hence it is clear that $\beta = \{v, Tv, T^2v, \dots, T^{k-1}v\}$ spans W . On the other hand if $c_0v + c_1Tv + \dots + c_{k-1}T^{k-1}v = 0$, then $g(x) \cdot v = 0$ where $g(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{k-1}x^{k-1}$. But f is the nonzero polynomial of minimal degree such that $f(x) \cdot v = 0$. Hence $g(x)$ is the zero polynomial and all the c_i are zero. Thus β is linearly independent and spans; that is, β is a basis as claimed.