Separation Anxiety

Michael Albert, Mike Atkinson, Vince Vatter

PP2010, Dartmouth



http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.1014



Starring: Separable Permutations







Starring: Separable Permutations



$$\mathcal{S} = \mathsf{Av}(\mathsf{2413}, \mathsf{3142})$$

$$\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}\oplus\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}\oplus\mathcal{S}$$





Starring: Separable Permutations



$$\mathcal{S} = \mathsf{Av}(\mathsf{2413}, \mathsf{3142})$$

$$\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}\oplus\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}\oplus\mathcal{S}$$

$$S(t) = \frac{1 - t - \sqrt{1 - 6t + t^2}}{2t}$$







$$\mathcal{A} = Av(132)$$















$$C = Av(231)$$











































= Av(2143, 2413, 3142, 3412).

$$X(t) = \frac{t - 2t^2}{1 - 4t + 2t^2}$$





The spoiler

Theorem Every subclass of *S* either:

- contains (at least) one of A, B, C, or D, or,
- has a rational generating function.





The spoiler

Theorem Every subclass of *S* either:

- contains (at least) one of A, B, C, or D, or,
- has a rational generating function.

But where's the rôle for Mr X?





The real spoiler

Theorem Every atomic subclass of *S* either:

- contains (at least) one of A, B, C, or D, or,
- (condition X) is contained in the inflation, X[U] of one of its proper subclasses.







Well order in the atomic age









Well order in the atomic age



A class is atomic if every two permutations in the class have a common extension in the class.







A class is atomic if every two permutations in the class have a common extension in the class.

> An atomic class is not the finite union of proper subclasses.





Well order in the atomic age



A class is atomic if every two permutations in the class have a common extension in the class.

- An atomic class is not the finite union of proper subclasses.
- A partially well ordered class is a finite union of atomic classes.





Well order in the atomic age



A class is atomic if every two permutations in the class have a common extension in the class.

- An atomic class is not the finite union of proper subclasses.
- A partially well ordered class is a finite union of atomic classes.
- If P and Q are hereditary properties and every element of an atomic class satisfies P or Q, then every member satisfies P or every member satisfies Q.





The real spoiler (again)

Theorem Every atomic subclass of *S* either:

- ▶ contains (at least) one of A, B, C, or D, or,
- (condition X) is contained in the inflation, X[U] of one of its proper subclasses.







Let an atomic subclass $\mathcal Y$ of $\mathcal S$ be given.





Let an atomic subclass $\mathcal Y$ of $\mathcal S$ be given.

If the subclass consisting of all subpermutations of plus (minus) indecomposable elements of *Y* is proper, then condition X holds.





Let an atomic subclass $\mathcal Y$ of $\mathcal S$ be given.

- If the subclass consisting of all subpermutations of plus (minus) indecomposable elements of *Y* is proper, then condition X holds.
- If not, and 𝒴 is ⊕-closed, then for π ∈ 𝒴, 1 ⊕ π ≤ θ for some ⊕-indecomposable θ. So, either 1 ⊖ (1 ⊕ π), or (1 ⊕ π) ⊖ 1 belongs to 𝒴. By atomicity, 1 ⊖ 𝒴 ⊆ 𝒴 or 𝒴 ⊖ 1 ⊆ 𝒴. So 𝔅 ⊆ 𝒴 or 𝒴 ⊆ 𝒴.





Let an atomic subclass $\mathcal Y$ of $\mathcal S$ be given.

- If the subclass consisting of all subpermutations of plus (minus) indecomposable elements of *Y* is proper, then condition X holds.
- If not, and 𝒴 is ⊕-closed, then for π ∈ 𝒴, 1 ⊕ π ≤ θ for some ⊕-indecomposable θ. So, either 1 ⊖ (1 ⊕ π), or (1 ⊕ π) ⊖ 1 belongs to 𝒴. By atomicity, 1 ⊖ 𝒴 ⊆ 𝒴 or 𝒴 ⊖ 1 ⊆ 𝒴. So 𝔅 ⊆ 𝒴 or 𝒴 ⊆ 𝒴.
- Similarly if \mathcal{Y} is \ominus closed.





So, \mathcal{Y} is atomic, neither \oplus nor \ominus closed, and we may assume is neither the sum or skew sum of two proper subclasses (lest condition X hold).

Define:

Each is a proper subclass of ${\mathcal Y}$ (possibly empty). Put their union in ${\mathcal U}.$





Consider $\pi = \gamma \oplus \tau \in \mathcal{Y}$. If $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{SE}$, or $\tau \in \mathcal{Y}_{NW}$ then "locally" condition X holds. If not, define:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} &=& \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \,:\, \gamma \oplus \sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \} \\ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} &=& \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \,:\, \sigma \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \} \end{array}$$

Both are proper. Moreover (magic), there are only finitely many different possibilities. So, put them all in \mathcal{U} (and do the same for minus decomposable permutations).





Consider $\pi = \gamma \oplus \tau \in \mathcal{Y}$. If $\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}_{SE}$, or $\tau \in \mathcal{Y}_{NW}$ then "locally" condition X holds. If not, define:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} &=& \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \,:\, \gamma \oplus \sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \} \\ \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} &=& \{\sigma \in \mathcal{Y} \,:\, \sigma \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \} \end{array}$$

Both are proper. Moreover (magic), there are only finitely many different possibilities. So, put them all in \mathcal{U} (and do the same for minus decomposable permutations).

Finis.





The credits



