

# Math 128: Lecture 21

May 14, 2014

## Decomposing modules

Let  $A$  be a semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\widehat{A}$  be an indexing set for the isomorphism classes of simple  $A$ -mods.

For  $\lambda \in \widehat{A}$ , let  $A^\lambda$  be a representative for the class corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

## Decomposing modules

Let  $A$  be a semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\widehat{A}$  be an indexing set for the isomorphism classes of simple  $A$ -mods.

For  $\lambda \in \widehat{A}$ , let  $A^\lambda$  be a representative for the class corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

For an  $A$  module  $M$ , the *isotypic component* of  $M$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq M \\ U \cong A^\lambda}} U.$$

## Decomposing modules

Let  $A$  be a semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\widehat{A}$  be an indexing set for the isomorphism classes of simple  $A$ -mods.

For  $\lambda \in \widehat{A}$ , let  $A^\lambda$  be a representative for the class corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

For an  $A$  module  $M$ , the *isotypic component* of  $M$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq M \\ U \cong A^\lambda}} U.$$

Suppose  $M$  is finite-dimensional, and let  $m_M(\lambda) = \dim(M^{(\lambda)})/\dim(A^\lambda)$  be the multiplicity of  $A^\lambda$  in  $M^{(\lambda)}$ .

## Decomposing modules

Let  $A$  be a semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\widehat{A}$  be an indexing set for the isomorphism classes of simple  $A$ -mods.

For  $\lambda \in \widehat{A}$ , let  $A^\lambda$  be a representative for the class corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

For an  $A$  module  $M$ , the *isotypic component* of  $M$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  is

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq M \\ U \cong A^\lambda}} U.$$

Suppose  $M$  is finite-dimensional, and let  $m_M(\lambda) = \dim(M^{(\lambda)})/\dim(A^\lambda)$  be the multiplicity of  $A^\lambda$  in  $M^{(\lambda)}$ .

*Maschke's theorem* says that the decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{M}} M^{(\lambda)}, \quad \text{where } \widehat{M} = \{\lambda \in \widehat{A} \mid M^{(\lambda)} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{is unique,}$$

whereas

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \bigoplus_{i=1, \dots, m_M(\lambda)} A^\lambda = m_M(\lambda) A^\lambda \quad \text{is not unique.}$$

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ .

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)



## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)
2.  $I_\lambda I_\mu = I_\mu I_\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  (they are pairwise orthogonal)

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)
2.  $I_\lambda I_\mu = I_\mu I_\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  (they are pairwise orthogonal)
3.  $\sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} I_\lambda = 1$

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)
2.  $I_\lambda I_\mu = I_\mu I_\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  (they are pairwise orthogonal)
3.  $\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{A}} I_\lambda = 1$
4.  $Z(A) = \mathbb{C}\{I_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \widehat{A}\}$

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)
2.  $I_\lambda I_\mu = I_\mu I_\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  (they are pairwise orthogonal)
3.  $\sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} I_\lambda = 1$
4.  $Z(A) = \mathbb{C}\{I_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}\}$
5. The action of  $I_\lambda$  on any  $A$ -module  $M$  projects onto  $M^{(\lambda)}$ .

## Finite-dimensional case

If  $A$  is finite-dimensional, *Wedderburn's theorem* says

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} \text{End}(A^\lambda)$$

where  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms of the vector space  $A^\lambda$ . (This theorem comes from the action of  $A$  on itself!)

So on each block  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$ , there is an identity operator  $I_\lambda$  which looks like 1 on  $\text{End}(A^\lambda)$  and 0 on  $\text{End}(A^\mu)$  for  $\mu \neq \lambda$ . These operators satisfy

1.  $I_\lambda^2 = I_\lambda$  ( $I_\lambda$  is an *idempotent*)
2.  $I_\lambda I_\mu = I_\mu I_\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq \mu$  (they are pairwise orthogonal)
3.  $\sum_{\lambda \in \hat{A}} I_\lambda = 1$
4.  $Z(A) = \mathbb{C}\{I_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \hat{A}\}$
5. The action of  $I_\lambda$  on any  $A$ -module  $M$  projects onto  $M^{(\lambda)}$ .

The  $I_\lambda$ 's are called the *centrally primitive idempotents* of  $A$ .

## Infinite-dimensional case

Let  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  be the set of  $A$ -homs  $\phi : A^\lambda \rightarrow M$ .

## Infinite-dimensional case

Let  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  be the set of  $A$ -homs  $\phi : A^\lambda \rightarrow M$ .  
 $A^\lambda$  simple implies for all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ ,

$$\phi(A^\lambda) \cong A^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(A^\lambda) = 0.$$

So  $\phi(A^\lambda) \subseteq M^{(\lambda)}$ .

## Infinite-dimensional case

Let  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  be the set of  $A$ -homs  $\phi : A^\lambda \rightarrow M$ .  
 $A^\lambda$  simple implies for all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ ,

$$\phi(A^\lambda) \cong A^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(A^\lambda) = 0.$$

So  $\phi(A^\lambda) \subseteq M^{(\lambda)}$ .

There's then a canonical map

$$\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M) \otimes A^\lambda \rightarrow M \quad \text{defined by} \quad \phi \otimes u \mapsto \phi(u).$$



## Infinite-dimensional case

Let  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  be the set of  $A$ -homs  $\phi : A^\lambda \rightarrow M$ .

$A^\lambda$  simple implies for all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ ,

$$\phi(A^\lambda) \cong A^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(A^\lambda) = 0.$$

So  $\phi(A^\lambda) \subseteq M^{(\lambda)}$ .

There's then a canonical map

$$\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M) \otimes A^\lambda \rightarrow M \quad \text{defined by} \quad \phi \otimes u \mapsto \phi(u).$$

Further, this map produces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M) \otimes A^\lambda \cong M^{(\lambda)}.$$

( $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  takes the place of  $I_\lambda$ ).

## Infinite-dimensional case

Let  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  be the set of  $A$ -homs  $\phi : A^\lambda \rightarrow M$ .

$A^\lambda$  simple implies for all  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ ,

$$\phi(A^\lambda) \cong A^\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(A^\lambda) = 0.$$

So  $\phi(A^\lambda) \subseteq M^{(\lambda)}$ .

There's then a canonical map

$$\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M) \otimes A^\lambda \rightarrow M \quad \text{defined by} \quad \phi \otimes u \mapsto \phi(u).$$

Further, this map produces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M) \otimes A^\lambda \cong M^{(\lambda)}.$$

( $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  takes the place of  $I_\lambda$ ).

So  $m_M(\lambda) = \dim(\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M))$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module.

Define the *centralizer* of  $A$  (in  $\text{End}(M)$ ) to be

$$\text{End}_A(M) = \{\phi \in \text{End}(M) \mid a\phi(m) = \phi(a \cdot m) \text{ for all } a \in A, m \in M\}.$$

Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module.

Define the *centralizer* of  $A$  (in  $\text{End}(M)$ ) to be

$$\text{End}_A(M) = \{\phi \in \text{End}(M) \mid a\phi(m) = \phi(a \cdot m) \text{ for all } a \in A, m \in M\}.$$

There is a natural action of  $\text{End}_A(M)$  on  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  by

$$b \cdot \phi : v \mapsto b \cdot \phi(v)$$

for all  $b \in B$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ , and  $v \in A^\lambda$ .

(Check: (1) Well defined, and (2) sends  $A$ -mod homs to  $A$ -mod homs.)

Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module.

Define the *centralizer* of  $A$  (in  $\text{End}(M)$ ) to be

$$\text{End}_A(M) = \{\phi \in \text{End}(M) \mid a\phi(m) = \phi(a \cdot m) \text{ for all } a \in A, m \in M\}.$$

There is a natural action of  $\text{End}_A(M)$  on  $\text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$  by

$$b \cdot \phi : v \mapsto b \cdot \phi(v)$$

for all  $b \in B$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A^\lambda, M)$ , and  $v \in A^\lambda$ .

(Check: (1) Well defined, and (2) sends  $A$ -mod homs to  $A$ -mod homs.)

### Theorem (Double centralizer theorem)

Let  $M$  be a vector space, and  $A \subseteq \text{End}(M)$ . Then the algebra  $B = \text{End}_A(M)$  is semisimple, one has  $\text{End}_B(M) = A$ , and  $M$  has the multiplicity-free complete decomposition

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{\widehat{M}} A^\lambda \otimes B^\lambda$$

as an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule, where  $\{B^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \widehat{M}\}$  are distinct simple  $B$ -mods.