

# Math 128: Lecture 11

April 17, 2014

## Last time:

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Taking  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  as a model, we will classify  $V$  as follows:

**Step 1:** Show that for any weight vector  $v$ ,  $xv$  is also a weight vector for  $x$  a monomial in  $U\mathfrak{n}^+$ .

**Step 2:** Show the weights of  $xv$  are distinct (enough) so that there exists a  $v^+ \in V$  with

$$\mathfrak{n}^+v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \text{ for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

**Step 3:** Show  $yv^+$  is a weight vector for all monomials  $y \in U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

**Step 4:** Show  $xyv^+ \in U\mathfrak{n}^-v^+$  so that  $V = U\mathfrak{h}^-v^+$ .

**Step 5:** Find a good basis for  $V$ .

**Step 6:** Classify  $V$  in terms of  $\mu$ .

## Last time:

Fix a base  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and  $R^+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

## Last time:

Fix a base  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and  $R^+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

**Step 1:** For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

## Last time:

Fix a base  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and  $R^+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

**Step 1:** For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

Define  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$  by  $\langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = c_j \delta_{i,j}$  for some fixed  $c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

So for every  $\alpha \in R^+$ ,

$$\langle \alpha, \omega_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = z_j c_j \geq 0,$$

and there is some  $\omega \in \Omega$  with  $\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle > 0$ .

## Last time:

Fix a base  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and  $R^+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

**Step 1:** For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

Define  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$  by  $\langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = c_j \delta_{i,j}$  for some fixed  $c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

So for every  $\alpha \in R^+$ ,

$$\langle \alpha, \omega_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = z_j c_j \geq 0,$$

and there is some  $\omega \in \Omega$  with  $\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle > 0$ .

So on the basis  $\{h_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ , it is clear that  $\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$  are distinct for distinct collections  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .

## Last time:

Fix a base  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  and  $R^+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

**Step 1:** For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

Define  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$  by  $\langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = c_j \delta_{i,j}$  for some fixed  $c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

So for every  $\alpha \in R^+$ ,

$$\langle \alpha, \omega_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \langle \beta_i, \omega_j \rangle = z_j c_j \geq 0,$$

and there is some  $\omega \in \Omega$  with  $\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle > 0$ .

So on the basis  $\{h_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ , it is clear that  $\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$  are distinct for distinct collections  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .

## Lemma (Step 2)

*There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h v^+ = \mu(h) v^+ \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

### Lemma (Step 2)

*There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$



For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

### Lemma (Step 2)

*There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

**Step 3:** Show  $yv^+$  is a weight vector for all monomials  $y$  in  $U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

### Lemma (Step 2)

*There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

**Step 3:** Show  $yv^+$  is a weight vector for all monomials  $y$  in  $U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

**Step 4:** Show  $xyv^+ \in U\mathfrak{n}^-v^+$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$  and mon'ls  $y \in U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

For  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha_i \in R$ ,  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$hx_1 \cdots x_m v = \left( \lambda(h) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(h) \right) x_1 \cdots x_m v. \quad (*)$$

## Lemma (Step 2)

*There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ v^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \quad \text{for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

**Step 3:** Show  $yv^+$  is a weight vector for all monomials  $y$  in  $U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

**Step 4:** Show  $xyv^+ \in U\mathfrak{n}^-v^+$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$  and mon'ls  $y \in U\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

---

Recall the Birkhoff-Witt theorem:

Let  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  be a base of  $R$  with  $R^+ = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ . Then there are bases

$$\{y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_\ell^{m_\ell} \mid y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \quad \text{of } U^-,$$

$$\{h_{\beta_1}^{m_1} \cdots h_{\beta_r}^{m_r} \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \quad \text{of } U^0, \text{ and}$$

$$\{x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_\ell^{m_\ell} \mid x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \quad \text{of } U^+.$$

## Lemma

Let  $V$  be a simple finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

(a) There is a highest weight vector  $v^+ \in V$  satisfying

$$hv^+ = \mu(h)v^+ \text{ for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \\ \mathfrak{n}^+v^+ = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathfrak{n}^-v^+ = V.$$

(b)  $V$  is spanned by weight vectors

$$\{y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_\ell^{m_\ell} v^+ \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \quad \text{with} \quad R^+ = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}, \text{ and} \\ y_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i},$$

and  $hyv^+ = (\mu - \sum_i m_i \alpha_i)(h)yv^+$  for  $y = y_1^{m_1} \cdots y_\ell^{m_\ell}$ .

(c) The weight spaces of  $V$  are

$$V_\lambda \quad \text{with } \lambda = \mu - \sum_{i=1}^r \ell_i \beta_i, \quad \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where  $B = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  is a base for the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular,  $\dim(V_\mu) = 1$ .

# Structure of highest weight representations

When are highest weight modules simple? When are they isomorphic?

We say an element  $v_\mu$  of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$  is a *primitive element* or *highest weight vector* (of weight  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ) if

$$hv_\mu = \mu(h)v_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}^+v_\mu = 0.$$

We call any module generated by a primitive  $v_\mu$  a *highest weight module* (of weight  $\mu$ ).

# Structure of highest weight representations

When are highest weight modules simple? When are they isomorphic?

We say an element  $v_\mu$  of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$  is a *primitive element* or *highest weight vector* (of weight  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ) if

$$hv_\mu = \mu(h)v_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}^+v_\mu = 0.$$

We call any module generated by a primitive  $v_\mu$  a *highest weight module* (of weight  $\mu$ ).

## Lemma

Let  $M$  be generated by primitive  $v_\mu$ .

( $M$  is not a priori simple or finite-dimensional)

- (1) Parts (a)–(c) from the previous lemma hold.
- (2)  $M$  is indecomposable, and therefore simple.
- (3) There is a unique (up to scaling) primitive element of  $V$ .
- (4) Two modules  $M^{(\mu)}$  and  $M^{(\lambda)}$  generated by primitive elements  $v_\mu$  and  $v_\lambda$ , respectively, are isomorphic if and only if  $\mu = \lambda$ .