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## Concentration of Eigenfunctions

Let $u_{j}$ be a $L^{2}$ normalised eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami Operator on a $n$ dimensional smooth compact manifold $M$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u_{j}=\lambda_{j}^{2} u_{j} \\
\sqrt{-\Delta} u_{j}=\lambda_{j} u_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Concentrated
Dispersed


## Eigenfunction Estimates

- Concentrated eigenfunctions usually have large $L^{p}$ norm for $p>2$.
- Suggests we study the $L^{p}$ norms of eigenfunctions.
- Seek estimates of the form

$$
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim f\left(\lambda_{j}, p\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

- Not easy to study eigenfunctions directly. Therefore we will study sums (clusters) of eigenfunctions.


## Spectral Windows

We study norms of spectral clusters on windows of width $w$

$$
E_{\lambda}=\sum_{\lambda_{j} \in[\lambda-w, \lambda+w]} E_{j}
$$

$E_{j}$ projection onto $\lambda_{j}$ eigenspace.


Obviously include eigenfunctions but also can include sums of eigenfunctions if $w$ is large enough.

## Spectral Window of Size One

Easier to work with an approximate spectral cluster.
Pick $\chi$ smooth such that $\chi(0)=1$ and $\hat{\chi}$ is supported in $[\epsilon, 2 \epsilon]$.
We will study

$$
\chi_{\lambda}=\chi(\sqrt{-\Delta}-\lambda)
$$

Write

$$
\chi_{\lambda}=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{-i t \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) d t
$$

If we can write $e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}}$ as an integral operator with kernel $e(x, y, t)$ we can write

$$
\chi_{\lambda} u=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} \int_{M} e(x, y, t) e^{-i t \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) u(y) d t d y
$$

## Half Wave Kernel Method

The operator $e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}}$ is the fundamental solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i \partial_{t}+\sqrt{-\Delta}\right) U(t)=0 \\
U(0)=\delta_{y}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We can build a parametrix for this propagator and write its kernel as

$$
e(x, y, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \theta(d(x, y)-t)} a(x, y, t, \theta) d \theta
$$

where $a(x, y, t, \theta)$ has principal symbol

$$
\theta^{\frac{n-1}{2}} a_{0}(x, y, t)
$$

## Expression for $\chi_{\lambda}$

Substituting into the expression for $\chi_{\lambda}$

$$
\chi_{\lambda} u=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \theta(d(x, y)-t)} e^{-i t \lambda} \theta^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \tilde{a}(x, y, t, \theta) u(y) d \theta d y d t
$$

Change of variables $\theta \rightarrow \lambda \theta$
$\chi_{\lambda} u=\lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda \theta(d(x, y)-t)} e^{-i t \lambda} \theta^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \tilde{a}(x, y, t, \theta) u(y) d \theta d y d t$
Now use stationary phase in $(t, \theta)$. Nondegenerate critical points when

$$
\begin{gathered}
d(x, y)=t \quad \theta=1 \\
\chi_{\lambda}=\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{M} e^{i \lambda d(x, y)} a(x, y) u(y) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a(x, y)$ is supported away from the diagonal.

## Sogge's Result

Sogge's result on windows of width 1 gives a complete sharp (for clusters) set of $L^{p}$ estimates.

$$
\left\|\chi_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(n, p)}\|u\|_{L^{2}}
$$



$$
\delta(n, p)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{n}{p} \quad \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq p \leq \infty \\
\frac{n-1}{4}-\frac{n-1}{2 p} \quad 2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Sharpness for clusters

Estimates sharp for spectral clusters and also sharp on the sphere. Two regimes for sharp estimates


Tube


## Sharpness for Eigenfunctions

Can find spherical harmonics for both regimes. However geodesic flow on a sphere is the antithesis of chaotic.

- Sphere has many stable invariant sets under the flow.
- Every point has a conjugate point.
- Large multiplicity of eigenvalues so a width one window is efficient.
- Expect improvements on multiplicities and eigenfunction estimates for "chaotic" systems
- For $n=2$ and negative curvature conjectured $C_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\epsilon}$ growth.


## Bérard's Remainder Estimate

Case where $M$ has no conjugate points. Bérard proved a $\log \lambda$ improvement on counting function remainder. This implies a better $L^{\infty}$ estimate.

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{(\log \lambda)^{1 / 2}}\|u\|_{L^{2}}
$$

This is achieved by shrinking the spectral window by a factor of $\log \lambda$.



Means that we need to run propagator for $\log \lambda$ time.

## Spectral Window of $1 / \log \lambda$

We need to evaluate

$$
\int_{t<\log \lambda} e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{i t \lambda} d t
$$

Cannot achieve this on any manifold but for manifolds without conjugate point we can use the universal cover. If $M$ has no conjugate points its universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ is a manifold with infinite injectivity radius. Therefore we can find a solution for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i \partial_{t}+{ }_{-\Delta_{M}}^{\tilde{M}}\right) U(t)=0 \\
U(0)=\delta_{y}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all time on $\widetilde{M}$


## Expression for Propagator Kernel

$e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}}$ has kernel

$$
e(x, y, t)=\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \tilde{e}(x, g y, t)
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the group of automorphisms of the covering $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and the fundamental solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i \partial_{t}+\sqrt{-\triangle}_{\tilde{M}}\right) U(t)=0 \\
U(0)=\delta_{y}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is given by

$$
U(t) u=\int_{\tilde{M}} \tilde{e}(x, y, t) u(y) d y
$$

## The case of constant negative curvature

We will reduce to the simple case where $M$ is two dimensional and has constant negative curvature, therefore $\widetilde{M}$ is the hyperbolic plane.
We study

$$
\chi_{\lambda}=\chi((\sqrt{\Delta}-\lambda) A)
$$

where $A=A(\lambda)$ controls the size of the spectral window.
Therefore

$$
\chi_{\lambda}=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} e^{i t A \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{-i t A \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) d t
$$

So

$$
\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} e^{i A(t-s) \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{-i A(t-s) \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) \hat{\chi}(s) d t d s
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
e(x, y, A t)=\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \tilde{e}(x, g y, A t) \\
=\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i \theta(d(x, g y)-t A)} \theta^{1 / 2} a(x, g y, t A, \theta) d \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

- Away from diagonal $x=g y$ the principal symbol of $a(x, g y, t A, \theta)$ is $(\sinh (d(x, g y)))^{-1 / 2}$.
- Only significant contributions when $d(x, g y)=A t$ so sum is finite
- If $(t-s)$ is bounded away from zero can directly substitute this expression for the kernel of $e^{i(t-s) A \sqrt{-\Delta}}$.


## Small $t-s$

$$
\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{1}=\int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{2 \epsilon} e^{i A(t-s) \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{-i A(t-s) \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) \hat{\chi}(s) \zeta(A(t-s)) d t d s
$$ for $\zeta$ cut off function supported on $[-2 \epsilon, 2 \epsilon]$ and $\zeta=1$ on $[\epsilon, \epsilon]$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{1} u=\int_{M} K_{1}(x, y) u(y) d y \\
K_{1}(x, y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{M} e(x, z, A t) e(z, y, A s) e^{-i A(t-s) \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) \hat{\chi}(s) u(y) d z d s d t \\
=\sum_{g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma} \int e^{i A\left(\theta(d(x, g z)-t)-\eta\left(d\left(g^{\prime} z, y\right)-s\right)\right)} e^{i A(t-s) \lambda} \theta^{1 / 2} \eta^{1 / 2} d \Lambda
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
d \Lambda=\frac{b(t, s) \zeta(A(t-s)) d \eta d \theta d z d s d t}{(\sinh (d(x, g z)))^{1 / 2}\left(\sinh \left(d\left(g^{\prime} z, y\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

Scaling $\theta \rightarrow \lambda \theta$ and $\eta \rightarrow \lambda \eta$ combined with stationary phase in $(t, \theta),(s, \eta)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda}{A^{2}} \sum_{g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma} \int_{M} e^{i \lambda\left(d(x, g z)-d\left(g^{\prime} z, y\right)\right)} d \Lambda \\
& d \Lambda=\frac{\tilde{a}(x, y, z) d z}{(\sinh (d(x, g z)))^{-1 / 2}\left(\sinh \left(d\left(g^{\prime} z, y\right)\right)\right)^{-1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the restriction

$$
d\left(g^{\prime} z, y\right) \in[d(x, g z)-\epsilon, d(x, g z)+\epsilon]
$$

Turn one sum into an integral over $H^{2}$

$$
K_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda}{A^{2}} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \int_{H^{2}} e^{i \lambda(d(x, z)-d(z, g y))} d \tilde{\Lambda}
$$

but for $g \neq \mathrm{Id}$ zero contribution. So

$$
K_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda}{A^{2}} \int_{H^{2}} e^{i \lambda(d(x, z)-d(z, y))} d \tilde{\Lambda}
$$

Stationary Phase

- Phase is stationary (in angular variables) when $z$ is on the geodesic to $y$ from $x$.
- Nondegeneracy depends on the distance between $x$ and $y$.
- Pick up one factor of $A$ from radial integral.


Arrive at

$$
K_{1}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda}{A} \frac{e^{i \lambda d(x, y)} a(x, y)}{(1+\lambda|x-y|)^{-1 / 2}}
$$

This is true for all $A$ including $A=1$ which is the Sogge case. Therefore

$$
\left\|\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{1} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{2 \delta(n, p)}}{A}\|u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}
$$

Can make this estimate very small by increasing $A$ however we still need to address the terms given by $(t-s)$ large. This term will limit how large we make $A$.

## Use Hadamard parametrix for large $t-s$

For $|t-s|>\epsilon$ we assume that $t>s$ and use

$$
e^{i A t \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{-i A s \sqrt{-\Delta}}=e^{i A(t-s) \sqrt{-\Delta}}
$$

and write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{2}=\int e^{i A(t-s) \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{i(t-s) \lambda} \hat{\chi}(t) \hat{\chi}(s)(1-\zeta(A(t-s))) d t d s \\
=\frac{1}{A^{2}} \int_{t, s<\epsilon A} e^{i(t-s) \sqrt{-\Delta}} e^{i(t-s) \lambda} b(t, s)(1-\zeta((t-s))) d t d s \\
=\frac{1}{A^{2}} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \int_{t, s<\epsilon A} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i(\theta(d(x, g y)-(t-s))-(t-s) \lambda)} \theta^{1 / 2} d \Lambda \\
d \Lambda=\frac{\tilde{a}(x, y, \theta, t, s) d \theta d y d t d s}{\left(\sinh (d(x, g y))^{-1 / 2}\right.}
\end{gathered}
$$

After the usual scaling $\theta \rightarrow \lambda \theta$ and stationary phase in $(t, \theta)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{2} u=\int K_{2}(x, y) u(y) d y \\
K_{2}(x, y)=\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}}{A} \sum_{g \in \Gamma}\left(\sinh (d(x, g y))^{-1 / 2} e^{i \lambda d(x, g y)}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon \leq d(x, g y) \leq \epsilon A
$$

Because of the exponential growth there are $e^{\epsilon R}$ such terms at distance $R+O(1)$ from $x$. Therefore
$K_{2}(R, x, y)=\zeta(d(x, g y)-R) K_{2}(x, y) \Rightarrow\left|K_{2}(R, x, y)\right| \leq \frac{\lambda^{1 / 2} e^{c R}}{A}$

If

$$
T_{\lambda}^{R} u=\int K(R, x, y) u(y) d y
$$

then

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1 / 2} e^{c R}}{A}\|u\|_{L^{1}}
$$

As $e^{i t \sqrt{-\Delta}}$ is a unitary operator

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{A}\|u\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Interpolating

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1 / 2-1 / p} e^{c R(1-2 / p)}}{A}\|u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}} \\
\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{2 \delta(n, p)-1 / 2+3 / p} e^{c R(1-2 / p)}}{A}\|u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Final Result

Finally let $A=\alpha \log \lambda$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(\chi_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\star}\right)_{2} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim \int_{\epsilon}^{c \log \lambda}\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{p}} d R \\
\lesssim \frac{\lambda^{2 \delta(n, p)-1 / 2+3 / p+c \alpha}}{\alpha \log \lambda}\|u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting this together with the $A|t-s| \leq \epsilon$ term we obtain (by picking $\alpha$ small enough)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\chi_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim C_{p} f(\lambda, p)\|u\|_{L^{2}} \\
f(\lambda, p)=\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}}}{(\log \lambda)^{1 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $6<p \leq \infty$.

## Kink Point?

- For $n=2, L^{6}$ is the kink point representing change in sharpness regimes
- We get no improvement for
$p=6$, however we have no sharp examples


When we interpolate between

$$
\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{A}\|u\|_{L^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|T_{\lambda}^{R} u\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1 / 2} e^{c R}}{A}\|u\|_{L^{1}}
$$

we do not take into consideration sharpness regimes.

For the $t-s$ small term we can do this as there is a strong relationship
between distance and time.



## Wrapping Up

We have the eigenfunction estimates for $p>6$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\chi_{\lambda} u\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim C_{p} f(\lambda, p)\|u\|_{L^{2}} \\
f(\lambda, p)=\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{p}}}{(\log \lambda)^{1 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Sharp examples exist for clusters but $C_{p}$ does not blow up in these examples
- Thought that eigenfunctions estimates are much better, $C_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\epsilon}$
- To prove good eigenfunction estimates would need to exploit some cancellation in the sum

$$
\sum_{g \in \Gamma}(\sinh (d(x, g y)))^{-1 / 2} e^{i \lambda d(x, g y)}
$$

